UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,517	10/24/2003	Bernard J. Patsky	UTL 03-032	9868
JAMES F. BAI	7590 06/19/2007 RD, ESOUIRE		EXAM	INER
33 East Main Street			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
P.O. Box 574 West Brookfield	d, MA 01585-0574		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<b>7%</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/692,517	PATSKY, BERN	ARD J.			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen L. Blau	3711				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Stephen L. Blau</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. James F. Baird (Re</u>	g. No. 31,463).				
(2) Mr. Bernard J. Patsky.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 30 May 2006.	*					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 3-5 and 17.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ryan, Kobayashi.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	•					
		<u> </u>				
		7				
		1				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

**Application No. 10/692,517** 

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how the head being in the design lie of a head is critical for parallax correction. The examiner discussed why he believed that it would be obvious for Ryan to take that into account. Discussed how method steps are not given weight if the end product is the same in apparatus claims. Dicussed that a head of Kobayashi would balance at the sweet spot due to the center of gravity being behind the sweet spot and this is a known teaching in the art. Dicussed if it would be obvious to have a center of gravity behind the sweet spot of Ryan. The examiner discussed that if the applicant was able to show that the produced parallax correction line would not be the same as that of Ryan which is shown to be 18-26 degrees how this would be a strong argument of overcoming the prior art used.